FILED
AN CLERK'S OFFICE
US DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.)

★ JUL 2 7 2011 ★

DH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	BROOKLYN OFFICE
IN RE: HOLOCAUST VICTIM ASSETS LITIGATION	X : Case No. CV 96-4849 (ERK)(MDG) : (Consolidated with CV 96-5161 : and CV 97-461) :
This Document Relates to: All Cases	MEMORANDUM & ORDER
	: Y

MEMORANDUM & ORDER APPROVING CRT SET 22: 3 SUMMARY DENIALS TO APPEALS AS CERTIFIED BY THE CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 30 OF THE RULES GOVERNING THE CLAIMS RESOLUTION PROCESS

KORMAN, J.:

As provided under the Settlement Agreement, and in accordance with the procedures established in the December 8, 2000 and December 14, 2006 Memoranda & Orders and in the Plan of Allocation and Distribution of Settlement Proceeds, the Claims Resolution Tribunal (the "CRT") is hereby requesting the Court's approval of the CRT's twenty-second set of appeal decisions consisting of 3 Summary Denials based upon Appeals submitted to the CRT. This set includes 1 Summary Denial to an Appeal of a Certified Award listed in Annex A, 1 Summary Denial to an Appeal of a Certified Award Denial listed in Annex B and 1 Summary Denial to Appeal of "No Match" decision listed in Annex C to this Order.

Under Article 30 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules"), an appeal must be based upon a plausible suggestion of error regarding the conclusions reached by the CRT. Any appeals submitted without a plausible suggestion of error shall be summarily denied. At my direction, the CRT has analyzed the information provided in each of the Appeals listed in Annexes A, B and C. Upon careful consideration, the CRT has concluded

. that none of the Appellants whose claims are listed in Annexes A, B and C raised a plausible

suggestion of error in the CRT's findings. Accordingly, the CRT recommends that these

Appeals be summarily denied.

I have reviewed the CRT's analyze and I concur with the CRT's recommendations.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that the 3 Appeals to the claims listed in Annexes A, B and C shall be

summarily denied and the relevant Appellants so informed;

It is further ordered that the CRT shall provide the Court with the name and address of every

Appellant whose appeal is herewith summarily denied, which information shall be filed with the

Court under seal.

Brooklyn, New York July 2011

Dated:

SO ORDERED:

s/Edward R. Korman

Edward R. Korman United States District Judge